

JUDICIAL INFORMATION SYSTEM COMMITTEE

April 25, 2025
10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.
Online Zoom Meeting

Minutes

Members Present:

Justice Barbara A. Madsen, Chair
Judge John Hart, Vice-Chair
Judge Valerie Bouffiau
Ms. Mindy Breiner
Mr. Joseph Brusic
Mr. Donald Graham
Ms. Stephanie Kraft
Mr. Frank Maiocco
Judge David Mann
Chief Brad Moericke
Judge Robert Olson
Mr. Frankie Peters
Ms. Paulette Revoir
Ms. Dawn Marie Rubio
Judge Allyson Zipp

Members Absent:

Mr. Derek Byrne
Ms. Heidi Percy

AOC Staff Present:

Mr. Scott Ahlf
Mr. Kevin Ammons
Mr. Robert Anteau
Ms. Brittanie Collinsworth
Mr. Rob Eby
Mr. Arsenio Escudero
Ms. Brittany Gregory
Mr. Jamie Kambich
Mr. Carl McCurley
Mr. Dexter Mejia
Ms. Anya Prozora
Ms. Nancy Shattuck
Mr. Chris Stanley
Mr. Garret Tanner

Guests Present:

Mr. Bharat Bagaria, Gartner
Ms. Megan Baker, Cowlitz Co.
Ms. Josie Delvin, Benton Co.
Ms. Michelle Enright, Stevens Co.
Ms. Leah Garabedian, Gartner
Mr. Enrique Kuttemplon, King Co.
Mr. Alasdair Maughan, Gartner
Mr. Allen Mills, Bluecrane
Ms. Farhat Naweed, Gartner
Ms. Tammie Ownbey, Pend Oreille Co.
Mr. Terry Price, ATJ Board

Call to Order & Approval of Meeting Minutes

Justice Barbara Madsen called the Judicial Information System Committee (JISC) meeting to order at 10:03 a.m. This meeting was held virtually on Zoom.

Justice Madsen asked if there were any changes or additions to be made to the February 28, 2025 meeting minutes. Hearing none, the meeting minutes were approved as written.

JIS Budget Update

Mr. Chris Stanley gave a JIS budget briefing. The approved budget is expected from the Legislature on Saturday, April 26, 2025. Mr. Stanley suspects it will not be good. It is likely some items will not be funded in the IT decision packages, such as additional staff for the CLJ-CMS project and migrating certain systems to the cloud.

Legislative Update

Ms. Brittany Gregory gave a brief update on the 2025 Legislative session; the regular session is set to conclude on Sunday, April 27, 2025. Ms. Gregory highlighted request legislation that has an impact on the judicial branch, including requests for additional Superior Court judicial positions, court interpreter statutory revisions, caregiving as a mitigating factor (statutory revision request), and including Appellate Commissioners in PERS Benefit Multiplier Program. She also mentioned key bills that were discussed relating to AI in courts, court centralization, the attorney shortage, juvenile points and resentencing, legal financial obligations, judicial discretion, and even the creation of a new civil protection order for impaired driving.

Mr. Kevin Ammons briefed the Committee on proposed bills with significant impacts to JIS systems. Bills included: SB 5801 – Transportation Resources, which would amend numerous taxes and revenue provisions, direct specific amounts of revenue to the Move Ahead WA transportation accounts, and establish annual inflation adjustments; SB 1391 – Court Alternatives for Youth, which aims to improve outcomes for youth by strengthening diversion programs and increasing data recorded about diversion agreements, expands the age of diversions to 21 years old and removes diversions from criminal history; and HB 1460 – Hope Card, which would provide changes to the Hope Card legislation to reflect the program as implemented by AOC.

Proposed JISC Rules Revisions – Part 4 (of 4)

Mr. Ammons reviewed the final tranche of proposed revisions to the JISC Rules, which consisted of one rule: JISCR 13 – Local Court Systems. The proposed changes for this rule were presented to the JISC for discussion in February 2025. New feedback has been incorporated into the revisions from the Washington State Association of County Clerks (WSACC) regarding sufficient notice to courts with local case management systems before any necessary changes are implemented.

Some discussion followed. Mr. Donald Graham suggested adding the word “solely” to the first sentence in section (h), so the phrase would read, “Individual courts and/or county clerks are *solely* responsible...”.

Following this discussion, Justice Madsen asked if there was a motion to approve these proposed revisions so that they may be sent to the Supreme Court Rules Committee for requested amendment.

Motion: Mr. Frank Maiocco

I move to propose to the Supreme Court Rules Committee the amendments to JISCR 13 as presented.

Second: Ms. Paulette Revoir

Voting in Favor: Judge Valerie Bouffiou, Ms. Mindy Breiner, Mr. Joe Brusic, Mr. Donald Graham, Judge John Hart, Ms. Stephanie Kraft, Justice Barbara Madsen, Mr. Frank Maiocco, Judge David Mann, Chief Brad Moericke, Judge Robert Olson, Mr. Frankie Peters, Ms. Paulette Revoir, Ms. Dawn Marie Rubio, Judge Allyson Zipp

Opposed: None.

Absent: Mr. Derek Byrne, Ms. Heidi Percy

The motion passed.

This approved proposed amendment will be prepared for submittal to the Supreme Court by the end of the year.

Person Management Analysis Results

Mr. Dexter Mejia gave a brief summary the recent Person Management Analysis work. With the assistance of consulting company Gartner Inc., the Person Business Rules Committee (PBRC), and other court representatives, AOC conducted a twelve-week analysis of the current state of person records, envisioning the goals and objectives for improving the overall integrity of person records at a statewide level, and developing strategies for achieving those goals and objectives.

The Gartner Inc. team then presented their analysis on person data modernization, which included a current state analysis summary; vision, goals and objectives; future state operating model; and a future state roadmap.

Discussion followed. Mr. Mejia noted that he will work with the PBRC and the AOC team over the next several weeks to develop realistic and practical action plans, and to break down the work that needs to be done. These action plans will be brought to the JISC in August.

The Committee opted to postpone considering the published decision point (2025-02) to endorse the recommendations and roadmap from the Person Data Assessment Project at this time, as several members expressed the need to review the analysis results and proposed future state roadmap more thoroughly. The decision point will be brought back to the JISC at the June meeting. It was suggested that an alternate motion be put forth that would establish next steps in the interim.

Motion: Justice Barbara Madsen

I move that the JISC allocate resources and roles, and establish Data Governance Board between now and June; and that a follow-up presentation and discussion be held at the next JISC meeting, after which the Committee will consider the original decision point (2025-02) to approve the roadmap.

Second: Mr. Donald Graham

Voting in Favor: Judge Valerie Bouffiou, Ms. Mindy Breiner, Mr. Joe Brusic, Mr. Donald Graham, Judge John Hart, Ms. Stephanie Kraft, Justice Barbara Madsen, Mr. Frank Maiocco, Judge David Mann, Chief Brad Moericke, Judge Robert Olson, Mr. Frankie Peters, Ms. Paulette Revoir, Ms. Dawn Marie Rubio, Judge Allyson Zipp

Opposed: None.

Absent: Mr. Derek Byrne, Ms. Heidi Percy

The motion passed. Justice Madsen acknowledged the work of Gartner Inc., and the PBRC, and thanked them for their efforts.

BJA Statement of Principles & NCSC AI Guidelines

Mr. Ammons apprised the JISC of the Board for Judicial Administration's (BJA) recently adopted AI Statement of Principles, with discusses the relationship between potential benefits of new technologies like Artificial Intelligence and adherence to existing core values, emphasizes that "technology is not a substitute for human judgement...", and recognizes that there may need to be adjustments to court rule and practices due to AI and emergent technologies.

Mr. Ammons also shared the National Center for State Courts' (NCSC) guidance for the use of AI and generative AI in courts, which was issued in late 2024. The guidance document provides an overview of AI concepts, terms and impacts on court processes, reviews several key considerations for the use of AI in the court (including concerns related to accuracy, bias, ethics, and security), discusses the impacts of AI on evidentiary issues, provides steps courts can take to benefit from AI and develop court-specific AI use policies, and discusses the procurement, governance, and use of AI platforms.

Justice Madsen asked that Mr. Ammons and AOC look into creating a similar statement of principles and guardrails for the JISC. Mr. Ammons will bring a draft for discussion at the June meeting.

JIS Priority Project #1 (ITG 102): Courts of Limited Jurisdiction – Case Management System (CLJ-CMS)

CLJ-CMS Project Update

Mr. Garret Tanner provided an update on the CLJ-CMS project. In early March, the CLJ-CMS project sent a communication to the associations that the project will be adjusting their 2025 go-live schedule to go-live with only one group of courts in 2025; following that go-live, the project was to shift its focus to testing the critical upgrade, Enterprise Justice version 2025. At the same time, the project was updating and revising their 2025 implementation schedule, and the Project Steering Committee (PSC) and project leadership were meeting with pilot and early adopter courts to gather feedback on their implementations and current status in the system. Based on that feedback, the PSC made the decision to *not* implement any further courts onto Enterprise Justice version 2022. That would include the Event 1 2025 courts. The project team has been asked to spend this year working with Tyler Technologies and the courts to stabilize the system for the pilot and early adopter courts. This includes working with Tyler to receive, test, and deliver outstanding software defect fixes, and working through functionality and process gaps with the courts. Tyler is working to make Enterprise Justice v2025 available to the project team, who will then begin testing the upgrade with the intent to roll it out to pilot and early adopter courts in Q1 of 2026. Following the upgrade, the project will proceed with implementing the remaining 132 courts over four events in 2026 and 2027.

CLJ-CMS recently held two outreach events (in Yakima and online), with additional outreach events to come later this year in Spokane, Marysville, and online. Other notable work in progress includes: building an action plan for Live courts, updating and publishing the implementation list, reviewing the implementation and go-live strategy, upgrading eFile (v2022 to v2024), implementing re:Search for live

courts, enhancing Defendant Access, implementing enhancements and bug fixes from Tyler, and installing and testing Enterprise Justice v2025, when available. Mr. Tanner then gave details on other work in progress; he then highlighted updates to the project issues and risks.

Quality Assurance Assessment Report

Mr. Allen Mills, with the project's QA vendor Bluecrane, provided an overview of the April QA Assessment Report for the CLJ-CMS project. The full report can be found in the JISC meeting packet.

Data Dissemination Committee (DDC) Report

Judge Hart reported that the Data Dissemination Committee did not meet this month.

Meeting Wrap Up & Adjournment

Justice Madsen adjourned the meeting at 12:09 p.m.

Next Meeting

The next meeting will be June 27, 2025, via Zoom from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

Action Items

	Action Items	Owner	Status